Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/800,315	WONG, DANIEL MANHUNG
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher J. Raab	2169
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Christopher J. Raab</u> .	(3)	
(2) Shun Yao (Applicant's Representative).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 September 2008	Time: <u>3:00pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 15,17-19,21		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III.		
☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Christopher J Raah/ Examiner, Art Unit 2169 (A	.pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/800,315

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner discussed with Applicant's Representative that the application is in condition for allowance, except for a 101 issue with independent claim 15, as well as the dependent claims. Applicant's Representative agreed to amend the claim to include a processor and a memory, so that the claim(s) will recite physical articles, and will therefore be statutory under 35 U.S.C. 101.